

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/679,175	10/03/2003	Terry L. Miller	03-308	2301
7590 09/21/2004		EXAMINER		
Michael B. McNeil			PHAM, MINH CHAU THI	
Liell & McNeil Attorneys PC P.O. Box 2417			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bloomington, I	N 47402		1724	
			DATE MAILED: 09/21/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/679,175	MILLER ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Minh-Chau T. Pham	1724	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address -	
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any earn	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the provided properiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state reto reply within the set or extended period for reply wreply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MCCATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a renication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT will, by statute, cause the application to become AB/er the mailing date of this communication, even if ti	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			7	7
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	I on .		
		o)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice		-	
		c under Lx parte Quayle, 1999 O.D.	11, 400 0.0. 210.	
_	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any object	- · ·	, ,	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to The oath or declaration is objected to	•		
Priority ι	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Cedified copies of the priority decrease of the priority decrease of the certified copies of application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in Ap f the priority documents have been r al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachmen	t(s)			
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	ummary (PTO-413)	
3) 🛛 Infor	e of Drafts and con's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Dischause Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Pr No(s)/Marchaete 10/3/03.		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/679,175

Art Unit: 1724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Flynn et al (5,846,271), in view of Hoffman, Jr. et al (6,096,207).

Flynn et al disclose a filter assembly (10) comprising a filter head (12) attachment adjacent one end, a bowl (30) attachment adjacent an opposite end, and a filter element (52) mounted in the assembly. Flynn et al further disclose a filter head (12), an annular seal (36) between bowl (30) and the filter head (12), and the filter having end caps (70). Flynn et al also disclose a method of servicing a filtration portion of a fluid system comprising the steps of either detaching the filter from a filter head or reattaching a new filter back in a filter head. Claims 1-14 differ from the disclosure of Flynn et al in that the filter has a center support. Hoffman, Jr. et al disclose a center support (12) with a filter element (16) around the center support, a first end cap (16-1) in contact with the filter element at one end and a second end cap (16-2) in contact with the filter at the opposite end wherein first (16-1) and second (16-2) end caps are removably attached to the center support (12). Hoffman, Jr. et al disclose a method of servicing a filtration portion of a fluid system comprising the steps of detaching a filter element from a center support so that the filter can be replaced and reattaching a new filter to the center support. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the

Application/Control Number: 10/679,175

Art Unit: 1724

time the invention was made to provide a center support as taught by Hoffman, Jr. et al in the filtration apparatus of Flynn et al since the central support would provide firm support for the filter element from the force of fluid passing through, hence, the filtration efficiency would be improved significantly.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Taub (5,795,369) discloses a fluted filter media for a fiber bed.
- Ernst et al (6,723,149) disclose a separator for liquids.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh-Chau T. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-1163. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon/Tues/Thur/Fri 7:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Minh-Čhau Pham Patent Examiner

Art Unit: 1724

September 17, 2004